



The OVAL Repository

Matthew N. Wojcik



Background

- **A primary aim of the OVAL project is to promote the availability and sharing of open, machine-readable security content, specifically in the area of machine state information.**
- **Two main tools**
 - **The language specifies how to describe what to test for (definitions), what was actually found (system characteristics), and the outcome of analysis (results).**
 - **The Repository is an open collection of tests written and maintained by the community. Its aim is to reduce duplication of effort, spread the burden, and tap into a broad pool of expertise.**

**Goal: Primary-source vendors publishing
machine-readable security content**



Early History – 2002-2003

- **MITRE created Repository web pages for content download and review, and OVAL Discussion List (aka Community Forum) for email discussion of content**
- **Initially all content created by MITRE**
 - **Windows and Solaris, shifting to Windows and Red Hat**
- **Limited community participation**
 - **Only occasional feedback on the list**
 - **With no tools to consume content except Definition Interpreter, hard to build interest—chicken and egg problem**
 - **With little feedback, hard to judge content quality**



2004-September 2005

- **Repository grows, increasing interest**
 - 500+ “query” mark in January 2004
 - 1000+ definitions in January 2005
- **Transition to XML eases use, boosts adoption**
- **OVAL Compatibility program**
 - 2004: Declarations
 - 2005: Tools available
 - November 2005: First products officially OVAL Compatible
- **Somewhat increased feedback on content**
- **ThreatGuard submissions starting mid-2005**
 - Solaris, HP-UX, Mozilla Suite & Firefox, Windows



October 2005-Present

- ThreatGuard submitting definitions for all new MS Security bulletins beginning in October 2005
- MITRE switches from content authorship to Repository management
 - More work than anticipated
- Significantly increased discussion of Repository content
 - Driven by availability of more tools
- OVAL 5 IDs greatly facilitate multiple repositories
- **June 2006:** Red Hat announces availability of OVAL patch definitions for all RHEL 3 & 4 errata: www.redhat.com/oval
- MITRE continues to engage other primary-source vendors



Repository Management Tasks

- **Process new submissions**
 - Language validation
 - Check for inadvertent changes to existing definitions
 - Content review (in theory!)
- **Respond to change suggestions**
 - Research, discuss, implement
 - Understand scope of changes and full impact on Repository
 - Moderate any disagreements
- **Enact Repository policies**
 - Definition status, versioning, change history, attribution
 - CVE Compatibility & feed references to CVE
- **Documentation**



Challenges of Repository Management

- **Some automation, but much manual**
 - Version 5 set back automation
- **Timeliness vs. Review**
 - Want new submissions available ASAP
 - Comprehensive review is very slow
- **Some issues beyond domain expertise of OVAL team**
 - That's the whole point of community process!
 - ...but it makes intelligent review or moderation difficult
- **Slow adoption of cooperative model**
- **Some changes have such broad impact they must be approached cautiously (and are hard to implement!)**



Submitting New Content

- **New content must be valid OVAL 5**
 - Schematron validation
 - Well-formed content makes *everything* easier
- **Re-use existing elements where appropriate**
 - Tests, objects, states, variables, definitions
 - Copy and edit if close match
- **Use Repository metadata**
 - Status: INITIAL SUBMISSION
 - Version: 0
 - Contributor: Give yourself credit!
- **Use appropriate references**
 - CVEs whenever possible—use CVE Description if vuln def
- **Co-ordinate with MITRE and Discussion list!**
 - ID service
 - Avoid duplication of effort



Submitting Changes

- **Understand the scope!**
 - Where is the {test, object, state, variable, definition} used?
 - Is the change appropriate globally, or to some uses?
- **Simple changes best sent to Discussion List**
 - Easier for MITRE to make changes than vet submission file
- **Valid OVAL Doc for complex or wide-reaching changes**
 - Start with the most recent version
 - Discuss on list to ensure acceptance!
 - Consult MITRE for proper use of metadata
- **Deprecation**
 - Discuss with MITRE



Discussion

- **How can we spread the burden?**
 - Volunteers for content review
 - More groups involved in definition creation
 - Signup facility?
- **Primary-source content**
 - Will there be demand for Repository definitions if software vendor supplies OVAL content?
 - Should Repository policy allow?
 - What added value is required?
 - How do we support extended definitions?
- **Future of the Repository**
 - As more collections of content come online, is there a need for “The OVAL Repository”?
 - Definition types?